

**\*Revised\***

## **NOTICE OF MEETING**

**The Regents of the University of California**

### **COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

Date: **March 28, 2012**  
Time: **1:05 p.m.**<sup>1</sup>  
Location: **UCSF–Mission Bay Community Center**  
**1675 Owens Street, San Francisco**

#### Agenda – Closed Session – Regents Only<sup>2</sup>

- |                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Action                         | Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting of January 18, 2012   |
| <del>F5(XX)</del> <sup>3</sup> | <del>Action</del> <del>Authorization for the External Financing for and Acquisition of an Office Building in Los Angeles following Action pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, Los Angeles Campus</del> |
| F6(XX)                         | Action   |
|                                | Recommendations for Board Action   |
|                                | 1. Kaplan v. Regents – Proposed Settlement – Medical Malpractice – San Diego   |
|                                | 2. Regents v. Overaa – Proposed Settlement – Construction Defect – San Francisco   |
| F7(XX)                         | Discussion   |
|                                | Appellate, Trial Court Developments and Updates  |
|                                | 1. ADAME v. REGENTS – Settlement in Principle – Toxic Tort – Agricultural and Natural Resources  |

...continued

Committee Membership: Regents Blum, Island, Kieffer, Lozano, Mireles, Pérez, Reiss, Varner (Chair), and Wachter; Ex officio members Brown, Gould, Lansing, and Yudof; Advisory members Mendelson, Powell, and Stein

---

<sup>1</sup> All times indicated and the order of business are approximate and subject to change.

<sup>2</sup> Closed Session Statute Citation: ~~Acquisition or disposition of property [Education Code §92032(b)(6)]~~  
Litigation [Education Code §92032(b)(5)]

<sup>3</sup> ~~Of interest to the Committee on Grounds and Buildings~~

2. BAKER, et al. v. KATEHI, et al. – Complaint Filed – Constitutional and State Law Claims Arising from Pepper Spraying Incident – Davis
3. CLIFFORD v. REGENTS, et al. – Motion to Dismiss Filed – Title IX, Equal Protection, Due Process and State Law Claims Related to Fraternity Hazing – Davis
4. COALITION TO DEFEND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION, et al. v. BROWN, et al. – Oral Argument on Appeal – Equal Protection Challenge to Proposition 209 – Systemwide
5. COMMUNITY WATER COALITION v. SANTA CRUZ LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION – Supreme Court Denies Petition for Review of Appellate Decision in Favor of University – Challenge to University’s Ability to Apply for Extraterritorial Water and Sewer Services (Cortese-Knox Act) – Santa Cruz
6. EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND REGENTS v. ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC., et al. – Adverse Jury Verdict on Patent Validity in Federal Court – Patent Infringement – San Francisco
7. ESTATE OF LAURENCE H. DORCY – Settlement of Challenge to 2011 Will – Undue Influence, Lack of Testamentary Capacity, Fraud – San Francisco
8. FELBER v. YUDOF, et al. – First Amended Complaint Dismissed, Second Amended Complaint Filed – First Amendment, Equal Protection and Title VI Claims Brought by Jewish Students – Berkeley
9. FISHER v. UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN – Development in a Non-University Case – U.S. Supreme Court Agrees to Review Fifth Circuit Decision Upholding Use of Race in Undergraduate Admissions – Systemwide
10. GIBSON v. REGENTS – Development in Non-University Case – Complaint Filed – Dangerous Condition of Public Property – Berkeley
11. JAFFA v. REGENTS – Jury Verdict – Judgment below CCP 998 Offer – Pregnancy Discrimination and Retaliation – Los Angeles
12. KATZBERG v. CHANCELLOR – Judgment for Chancellor Affirmed on Appeal – Writ of Mandate to Compel Academic Time and Compensation – Davis
13. MANSOURIAN v REGENTS, et al. – Settlement – Title IX and Section 1983 Claims Related to Women’s Athletics – Davis

...continued

14. OGANYAN v. REGENTS – Case Voluntarily Dismissed – Confidential Medical Information Act Claims Based on Stolen Hard Drive – Los Angeles Medical Center
15. VALIAVICHARSKA v. CELAYA – Jury Verdict – Judgment for the Defendant – Civil Rights – Berkeley
16. General Counsel’s Update on Pending or Threatened Litigation

F8(XX)      Information      Settlements and Separation Agreements under Delegated Authority  
Reported from November 28, 2011 to February 13, 2012

1. Settlements within Delegated Authority of the General Counsel (exceeding \$50,000 and up to \$500,000)
2. Settlements and Separation Agreements within Delegated Authority of the President (exceeding \$50,000 and not more than \$100,000)
3. Settlements and Separation Agreements Approved by the President with the General Counsel’s Concurrence (between \$100,000 and \$500,000)
4. Recoveries over \$50,000