

NOTICE OF MEETING

The Regents of the University of California

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Date: **January 19, 2011**
Time: **3:15 p.m.**¹
Location: **Price Center, San Diego Campus**

Agenda – Closed Session – Regents Only²

- | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Action | Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting of November 17 and the Joint Meeting of the Committees on Finance and Oversight of the Department of Energy Laboratories of November 17, 2010 |
| F4(XX) | Action/
Consent | A. Approval of Business Terms for an Amendment of Ground Lease for Inclusion Area Land to Housing Authority, Irvine Campus

B. Approval of Business Terms of Lease of Real Property at Mono County, California, for Sierra Nevada Aquatic Research Laboratory, a Natural Reserve System Unit, Santa Barbara Campus |
| F5(XX) ³ | Action | Approval of Ground Lease of Campus Land to J. Craig Venter Institute for Construction of Research Facility, San Diego Campus |
| F6(XX) | Discussion | Appellate, Trial Court Developments and Updates

1. ASCENCIO v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Age Discrimination – UCLA Medical Center |

...continued

Committee Membership: Regents Blum, Cheng, DeFreece, Island, Lozano (Chair), Makarechian, Pérez, Schilling, Varner, and Wachter; Ex officio members Brown, Gould, and Yudof; Advisory members Mireles, Pelliccioni, and Simmons

¹ Please note that starting times following the first scheduled session are estimates. If a session ends earlier than expected, the next scheduled session may convene immediately. Closed sessions may be convened earlier in the day if time permits.

² Closed Session Statute Citation: Acquisition or disposition of property [Education Code §92032(b)(6)]
Litigation [Education Code §92032(b)(5)]

³ Of interest to the Committee on Grounds and Buildings

2. BAYER v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Medical Malpractice – UCSD Medical Center
3. COALITION TO DEFEND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION et al. v. SCHWARZENEGGER et al. – Motion to Dismiss Granted with Prejudice – Seeks Declaratory and Injunctive Relief – Systemwide
4. HONG v. REGENTS – Judgment for University Affirmed – Taxpayer Injunction Action Challenging Enrollment Limits – Systemwide
5. LEACH v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Race Discrimination and Retaliation – Davis
6. LI v. REGENTS, et al. – Judgment for University – Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage, Wrongful Termination – San Francisco
7. LOUSTALOT v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Medical Malpractice – UCD Medical Center
8. LOVE v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Race Discrimination and Retaliation – Santa Barbara
9. MANSOURIAN et al. v. REGENTS et al. – Individual Defendants’ Motions for Summary Judgment Granted in Part and Denied in Part; Settlement Conference Scheduled – Title IX and Gender Equal Protection in Athletics – Davis
10. OSTOVAR v. REGENTS – Judgment against University Granting Writ of Mandate – Challenge to Non-Renewal of Resident’s Contract – San Francisco
11. PAGE v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Dangerous Condition – Davis
12. POGUE v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Medical Malpractice – UCD Medical Center
13. RAGER v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Negligence – Irvine
14. REQUA et al. v. REGENTS – Order Sustaining Demurrer to Petition for Writ of Mandate with Leave to Amend – Impairment of Contract for Retiree Medical Benefits – Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
15. SAVE STRAWBERRY CANYON v. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY et al. – Summary Judgment Granted for Defendants – National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) – Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
16. SHERLEY v. SEBELIUS – Development in a Non-University Case – Court of Appeals Briefing and Oral Argument are Complete in Case Potentially Affecting Federal Funding for Research Involving Embryonic Stem Cells – Systemwide

17. SICA v. REGENTS – Judgment for University – Breach of Contract and Due Process – Davis
18. SMITH v. REGENTS – Mediation Scheduled – Alleged Disclosure of Confidential Medical Information – San Francisco
19. STAFFORD v. REGENTS – Judgments for University – Race, Age, and Religious Discrimination, Retaliation, and Fraud – Berkeley
20. STAND UP FOR BERKELEY, COUNCIL OF NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS v. REGENTS – Litigation Update – California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Alquist Priolo Fault Zoning Act (APZA) – Berkeley
21. General Counsel’s Update on Pending or Threatened Litigation

F7(XX) Information Settlements and Separation Agreements under Delegated Authority Reported from October 9, 2010 to December 1, 2010

1. Settlements within Delegated Authority of the General Counsel
2. Settlements and Separation Agreements within Delegated Authority of the President
3. Settlements and Separation Agreements Approved by the President with the General Counsel’s Concurrence (between \$100,000 and \$500,000)
4. Recoveries over \$50,000