

# REPORT OF THE ACADEMIC AND STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

January 25, 2018

## 1. ENDORSEMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ACADEMIC VERIFICATION TASK FORCE

The Committee recommends that the Regents endorse the recommendations of the Academic Verification Task Force, as shown in Attachment 1.

Committee vote: Regents De La Peña, Elliott, Kieffer, Lansing, Mancina, Monge, Napolitano, Ortiz Oakley, Pérez, and Tauscher voting “aye.”

Board vote: Regents Anguiano, De La Peña, Elliott, Guber, Kieffer, Lansing, Lemus, Makarechian, Mancina, Monge, Napolitano, Ortiz Oakley, Park, Pérez, Tauscher, Varner, and Zettel voting “aye.”

## 2. APPROVAL OF PROFESSIONAL DEGREE SUPPLEMENTAL TUITION FOR A GRADUATE PROFESSIONAL DEGREE PROGRAM AT THE MERCED CAMPUS

The Committee recommends that the Regents approve the multi-year plan for charging Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition (PDST) for the Master of Management graduate professional degree program at UC Merced. Effective upon approval of the multi-year plan, PDST is established for the program and the maximum annual PDST levels for the five-year period specified in the program’s multi-year plan can be assessed (as shown in Display 1).

---

**DISPLAY 1: Proposed Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition Levels for AY 2018-19 through AY 2022-23\***

Master of Management Merced	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>
Resident PDST Level	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,050	\$23,152	\$24,308
Nonresident PDST Level	\$20,000	\$21,000	\$22,050	\$23,152	\$24,308

---

\* The amounts in the display reflect the maximum PDST levels to be assessed, effective as of the academic year indicated. Assessing PDST levels less than the level indicated in the display requires approval by the President with the concurrence of the Chancellor. PDST levels may be assessed beyond the period covering the program’s approved multi-year plan but not in excess of the maximum levels specified in the final year. Assessing PDST levels greater than the amounts in the display requires Regents’ approval of a new multi-year plan.

Committee vote: Regents De La Peña, Elliott, Kieffer, Lansing, Mancina, Monge, Napolitano, Ortiz Oakley, Pérez, and Tauscher voting “aye.”

Board vote: Regents Anguiano, De La Peña, Elliott, Guber, Kieffer, Lansing, Lemus, Makarechian, Mancina, Monge, Napolitano, Ortiz Oakley, Park, Pérez, Tauscher, Varner, and Zettel voting “aye.”

3. **REVISED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
AND THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY FOR THE  
CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION FOR RESEARCH IN ASTRONOMY**

The Committee recommends that the Regents approve the Second Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding California Association for Research in Astronomy by and between California Institute of Technology and the Regents of the University of California, effective April 1, 2018 (the “Second Amended and Restated Agreement”) and authorize the Chair of the Regents and the President, following consultation with the General Counsel, to approve and execute (i) the Second Amended and Restated Agreement and (ii) any modifications, addenda, or amendments (collectively, “amendments”), provided, however, that such amendments do not materially reduce the rights of the Regents or materially increase the obligations of the Regents.

Committee vote: Regents De La Peña, Elliott, Kieffer, Mancía, Monge, Napolitano, Ortiz Oakley, Pérez, and Tauscher voting “aye,” Regent Lansing recusing herself from voting.

Board vote: Regents Anguiano, De La Peña, Elliott, Guber, Kieffer, Lemus, Makarechian, Mancía, Monge, Napolitano, Ortiz Oakley, Park, Pérez, Tauscher, Varner, and Zettel voting “aye,” Regent Lansing recusing herself from voting.

**Academic Verification Task Force:  
Final Recommendations to Enhance Academic Verification**

1. The academic verification process will be used for no other purpose than confirming the qualifications of new students for admission to the University regardless of whether a campus anticipates meeting its undergraduate enrollment target for any given term.
2. All campuses will send at least two direct communications prior to the July 1 deadline.
3. Campuses will send notices via mail to the applicant's current postal address and/or attempt to make direct contact to encourage students to follow through on the final steps prior to enrollment.
4. The University will maintain at least a two-week grace period after published deadlines and send a minimum of two direct reminder communications prior to taking any action on new students who have not completed the steps to enrollment.
5. Campuses will consider alternative practices before withdrawing a student's admission, such as placing a hold on enrollment.
6. Campuses will review other indicators of students' enrollment commitment to assess their likelihood of enrolling in the fall and targeting any additional outreach efforts. These indicators could include, but are not limited to, participation in an orientation program, submitting a housing deposit, or registering for classes.
7. Notification of withdrawal of admission will include clear instructions and deadlines for appeals.