The Regents of the University of California

COMMITTEE ON GROUNDS AND BUILDINGS
May 8, 2002

A Special Meeting of the Committee on Grounds and Buildings was held by teleconference on the above date at the following locations: 1111 Franklin Street, Oakland; 1130 K Street, Sacramento; 509 West Weber Avenue, Stockton; 5123 Cheadle Hall, Santa Barbara campus; 135 N. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles; 5757 W. Century Blvd., Los Angeles; and Castle Aviation and Development Center, 4225 N. Hospital Road, Atwater.

Members present: Regents Atkinson, Connerly, Hopkinson, Johnson, Kozberg, Morrison, and Seymour

In attendance: Regent-designate Terrazas, Faculty Representatives Binion and Viswanathan, Associate Secretary Shaw, General Counsel Holst, Vice President Mullinix, and Recording Secretary Nietfeld

The meeting convened at 10:50 a.m. with Committee Chair Kozberg presiding.

1. **READING OF NOTICE OF MEETING**

   For the record, it was confirmed that notice had been given in compliance with the Bylaws and Standing Orders for a Special Meeting of the Committee on Grounds and Buildings, for this time and place, for the purpose of certifying the Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report and approving the design of the Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building, Merced Campus.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

   Committee Chair Kozberg explained that time had been set aside in order to permit members of the public an opportunity to address the matter on the Committee’s agenda. The following persons addressed the Committee concerning the item noted.

   **Item102: Certification of Addendum to Environmental Impact Report and Approval of Design, Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building, Merced Campus**

   A. Mr. Greg Wellman of the Merced County Board of Supervisors noted that the Merced campus represents a successful partnership between the County and the community. Working hand in hand, the County and the University will create a model for growth in the Central Valley. He urged the Committee to approve the designs as presented and to certify the Addendum to the EIR.

   B. Mr. Jack Lesch, Director of Development Services for the City of Merced, expressed the appreciation of the City Council for the Regents’ support of the Merced campus.
He discussed some of the issues of importance to the Central Valley, including its projected growth in population over the next two decades. This growth underscores the need for a University of California campus in the Valley.

C. Ms. Sylvia Smith, Assistant Superintendent with the Merced Union High School District, spoke in favor of moving the project along in order to afford the opportunity for higher education to her students who look forward to enrolling at UC Merced.

3. CERTIFICATION OF ADDENDUM TO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND APPROVAL OF DESIGN, LIBRARY AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING BUILDING, AND CLASSROOM AND OFFICE BUILDING, MERCED CAMPUS

The President recommended that upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project as indicated in Addendum No. 1 to the Long Range Development Plan Environmental Impact Report certified at the January 2002 Regents meeting, the Committee:


(2) Adopt the Findings.

(3) Approve the design of the Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building, Merced campus.

It was recalled that in November 2000 The Regents approved inclusion of the Library and Information Technology Center, the Science and Engineering Building, and Site Development and Infrastructure, Merced campus, in the 2000-05 Capital Improvement Program and the 2000-01 Budget for Capital Improvements. The total projected costs are as follows:

| Library and Information Technology Center | $57,463,000 |
| Science and Engineering Building          | $77,522,000 |

In October 2001, The Regents approved inclusion of the Classroom and Office Building in the 2001-06 Capital Improvement Program and the 2001-02 Budget for Capital Improvements at a total projected cost of $28,239,000. The purpose of today’s Special Meeting is to allow the project to proceed to the State Public Works Board this week for funding approval.

In October and November 2001, the following design professional firms were administratively approved within the Office of the President for these projects:
• Library and Information Technology Center: Skidmore, Owings & Merrill LLP, San Francisco, with Fernau & Hartman, Inc. as design consultants
• Science and Engineering Building: EHDD Architecture, San Francisco

The site proposed for the projects is the most northerly portion of the Phase 1 area of the Merced campus, as identified in the Long Range Development Plan approved at the January 2002 meeting. This area is occupied by a golf course and driving range, a parking lot, and related structures.

The projects recommended for design approval will constitute the initial academic core of the Merced campus. The buildings will be located on the highest elevation of the Phase 1 site, visible on approach from the city of Merced and situated to provide views of the adjacent lake and park to the west and the Sierra Nevada range to the east. The three academic buildings—library, science and engineering, and classrooms—will border a 3-acre campus green, which will overlook the Fairfield canal and playing fields to the south. A collaborative design development of standards ensures that the buildings will be constructed using a common color and materials palette and a uniform approach to the use of shaded arcades, roof forms, sun screens, and trellises. A sunscreen assembly composed of laminated glass components in a metal frame will be used as a campus standard. As the campus expands, the pedestrian and service streets between the first buildings will extend into the circulation grid of the campus established by the Long Range Development Plan.

Library and Information Technology Center

After crossing the Fairfield Canal, the first building encountered by a visitor approaching the campus academic core will be the Library and Information Technology Center. The main entry to the building will face the campus plaza. The library wing will face the first increment of the campus’ Main Street. The Center will contain 120,000 asf within a total area of 176,902 gsf. It will house the library, instruction and research space for information technology, offices for student services, and campus administration offices.

The building will consist of two wings: a four-story wing to the north to hold most library functions and a three-story wing to the west that will house student services, information technology, and campus administration. Of these functions, it is intended that the campus administration will eventually be relocated to a separate building to provide space for library expansion. At the juncture of the two wings, a large, ground-floor entry space will provide informal study areas as well as information desks. The administration wing will be constructed of poured-in-place concrete with shear walls to resist lateral seismic forces. The library wing structural system will be moment-frame reinforced concrete. Columns on the east facade of the library wing will be shaped to form vertical sun-shading elements that will be augmented with horizontal sunscreens above the windows. Integral sunscreens will shade the windows in the concrete walls of the other facades. The entry area and the library
spaces above it will be surrounded by campus standard glass sunscreens. The top portion of this central element will be punctuated by a metal and glass “lantern” identifying this location as the symbolic arrival point for the new academic area of the campus.

Classroom and Office Building

The Classroom and Office Building will be located beyond the Library along Main Street. Its central entry will be on this street, aligned with the major concourse of the Science and Engineering Building. The building will contain 60,000 asf within a total area of 92,601 gsf. It will initially house all classroom space (excluding teaching laboratories) on the campus. Spaces will vary in size from an auditorium to small seminar rooms. The building will also contain offices for faculty in humanities, arts, and social sciences, as well as the Dean’s administrative offices.

The building will be three stories high and rectangular with a small wing on one side. A covered walkway and pedestrian area will run the length of the elevation facing Main Street. The first floor will contain large lecture halls and classrooms, including a 360-seat auditorium. A wide staircase will lead from the ground-floor lobby to the second floor where smaller classrooms, seminar rooms, and offices for teaching assistants will be located. This central lobby will be shaded by campus standard sun screens. The top floor will primarily house faculty and administrative offices. The building structure will be concrete frame. Exterior walls will be constructed of pre-cast concrete panels, which will serve as shear walls for seismic resistance. This will be supplemented by interior concrete shear walls at selected points. A hipped roof with a large overhanging cornice will be metal clad in a natural weathering alloy. Windows in the exterior walls will be recessed and have integral metal sun shades. The central entry area will be shaded by campus standard horizontal glass sunshades.

Science and Engineering Building

The building will contain 102,000 asf within a total area of 174,105 gsf and will provide research laboratories, laboratory support space, teaching laboratories, and offices for the natural sciences and engineering divisions. The building will consist of two three-story wings situated at right angles and forming two sides of the campus green. A partial basement beneath the intersection of the wings will house a vivarium. Class laboratories and support spaces will be located on the ground floor. The second and third floors will contain research laboratories and support space, as well as faculty offices and administrative office space for the divisional deans. The Science and Engineering Building will be constructed of poured-in-place concrete to minimize vibration in the building. Poured-in-place exterior and interior shear walls and floor decks will resist seismic forces. A three-story high circulation concourse, shaded by horizontal campus standard glass sun screens at the upper two levels, will run along the campus green side of each wing. The exterior walls will be faced with cement plaster. A hipped roof with a broad overhanging cornice will enclose a substantial amount of roof top equipment. This roof will be standing seam metal clad with a natural weathering alloy that will match the roof material of the Classroom and Office Building.
The class laboratories at ground level will be accessible directly from both the street and the shaded concourse. The second- and third-story research floors will be accessible from interior corridors reached through elevators or by the main stairs located at the junction of the wings. The second and third floors will be connected by three additional sets of stairs along the wings. These stairs will wrap around small, informal gathering spaces intended to foster interdisciplinary exchanges and provide students with a place to meet colleagues and faculty. A two-story gallery space at the juncture of the two wings will link the upper two floors, provide space for informal gatherings and displays, and serve as an entry point into the divisional offices. Faculty offices, conference rooms, scholarly activity space, academic administrative offices, and most non-laboratory space on these floors will face the campus green and be shaded from the sun by metal sun screens on the concourse. Laboratories will be grouped along the opposite side of the wings, wet laboratories in the longer wing and dry laboratories in the shorter wing. The laboratory design will be flexible and modular and will be subdivided into discrete suites by the structural shear walls in the building.

The design of the Merced campus buildings has been reviewed and coordinated among the members of the consultant team. The design also has been reviewed by an independent panel of peers during the extended design development phase. Independent structural review and independent cost estimating have been conducted at each stage of project development and at the conclusion of the design development. The Merced campus Physical Planning Department will manage the project. Outside specialty consultants and testing agencies will be retained as necessary.

Environmental Impact Summary

The potential environmental effects of the proposed Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building (collectively referred to herein as “the Project”) were analyzed in the EIR for the UC Merced Long Range Development Plan, which was certified by The Regents in January 2002 (State Clearinghouse Number 2001021065), concurrent with the approval of the design for the UC Merced Site Development and Infrastructure with Central Plant Facility. Volume 1 of the EIR assessed the potential environmental effects of implementation of the LRDP, identified means to eliminate or reduce potential adverse impacts, and evaluated a reasonable range of alternatives to the LRDP. Volume 2 of the Draft EIR analyzed the project-level environmental impacts associated with the first phase of development on the UC Merced campus (2004-05 through 2007-08 academic year), referred to as the Phase 1 Campus, which included the Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building.

On August 13, 2001, the campus released the Draft LRDP EIR for public review, establishing a forty-five day public review period. Copies of the Draft EIR were made available at several libraries, information repositories, and the UC Merced project office in
the Merced area; a copy was posted on a web site jointly hosted by UC Merced and Merced County; and hard copies as well as CDs of the document were mailed to all people who requested one. A public hearing on the Draft EIR was held on September 13, 2001, during which comments on the Draft EIR were received. In response to public requests, the campus extended the public comment period an additional seven days, providing a total of fifty-two days for public review and comment. Written comments from interested public agencies and individuals were received throughout the public review period. The campus evaluated the oral testimony received at the public hearing as well as the written comments received during the comment period and prepared written responses. The responses are contained in the Final EIR.

Pursuant to State law and University procedures for implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act, the campus prepared Addendum No. 1 to the LRDP EIR to consider design approval of the proposed Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building.

The LRDP EIR evaluated the potential effects of the three proposed buildings as part of the Phase 1 Campus impact analysis, which evaluated project-level impacts resulting from development of the first phase of the UC Merced campus (2004-05 through 2007-08). Potential impacts for the Phase 1 Campus were evaluated in ten environmental issue areas: aesthetics; air quality; biological resources; cultural resources; geology, seismicity, and soils; hazards and hazardous materials; hydrology and water quality; noise; recreation; and traffic, circulation, and parking.

The LRDP EIR indicated that the Phase 1 Campus, including the Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building, would result in significant or potentially significant impacts, prior to mitigation, in the following areas: aesthetics; air quality; cultural resources; geology, seismicity, and soils; noise; and traffic, circulation, and parking.

With implementation of the proposed mitigation measures, the effects of Phase 1 Campus lighting on nearby Lake Yosemite Regional Park and other sensitive areas, increased levels of carbon monoxide, ozone precursor, and PM10 emissions, and increased ambient noise levels attributable to traffic increases would remain significant and unavoidable. However, these impacts are considered acceptable for the reasons specified in the Findings and Overriding Considerations adopted by The Regents in connection with its approval of the 2002 LRDP EIR. All other impacts would be mitigated below a level of significance.

As components of the Phase 1 Campus, the LRDP EIR analyzed the potential environmental effects of constructing approximately 120,000 assignable square feet (180,000 gross square feet) for the Library and Information Technology Center, 101,730 asf (170,000 gsf) for the Science and Engineering Building, and 60,000 asf (95,000 gsf) for the Classroom and Office Building. The current design of the Library and Information Technology Center envisions developing an approximately 176,902 gsf building, a minor decrease of 3,098 gsf (the asf
remains unchanged) in comparison to the Library and Information Technology Center described in the LRDP Final EIR. The current design of the Science and Engineering Building envisions developing an approximately 102,000 asf and 174,105 gsf building, a minor increase of 270 asf and 4,105 gsf in comparison to the Science and Engineering Building described in the LRDP Final EIR. Lastly, the current design of the Classroom and Office Building envisions developing an approximately 92,601 gsf building, a minor decrease of 2,399 gsf (the asf remains unchanged) in comparison to the Classroom and Office Building described in the LRDP Final EIR. The three buildings would be developed on the same sites as previously analyzed in the LRDP Final EIR, and their heights, massing, and footprints would remain essentially unchanged.

The University has prepared Addendum No.1 to the LRDP Final EIR to address these minor design changes to the three proposed buildings. The Project would include design features to ensure that potential environmental effects resulting from construction and operation of the Project would be minimized. Addendum No.1 concludes that the Project’s effects will not alter the conclusions of significance of the LRDP Final EIR and that none of the conditions or circumstances that would require the preparation of a Subsequent or Supplemental EIR would exist in connection with the Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, or Classroom and Office Building.

The only change with respect to the circumstances under which the LRDP would be undertaken since the LRDP Final EIR was certified as complete, is progress in the environmental permitting process, consistent with the anticipated process for UC Merced campus development, but this change in circumstances does not require major revisions in the LRDP Final EIR. No additional environmental analysis or review is required to address the environmental impacts resulting from construction and operation of the proposed Project, as revised, other than as provided in EIR Addendum No. 1.

A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program to ensure implementation of project-specific mitigation measures to reduce significant impacts is included as an Appendix in the Final EIR. Monitoring of the implementation of mitigation measures will be conducted on an annual basis in conjunction with the annual status report for the 2002 LRDP Mitigation Monitoring Program.

Findings

The Findings discuss the Project’s impacts, mitigation measures, and conclusions regarding approval of this Project in conformance with CEQA. The Findings also set forth Overriding Considerations for approval of the Library and Information Technology Center, Science and Engineering Building, and Classroom and Office Building Project in view of unavoidable significant environmental effects by incorporation of the Findings for the LRDP Final EIR.

[Addendum No. 1 and the Findings were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.)
Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved the President’s recommendation and voted to present it to the Board, Regents Atkinson, Connerly, Hopkinson, Johnson, Kozberg, Morrison, and Seymour voting “Aye” (7).¹

The meeting adjourned at 11:03 a.m.

Attest:

Associate Secretary

¹ Roll call vote required by State law on all actions taken by meetings held by teleconference.