

The Regents of the University of California

COMMITTEE ON GROUNDS AND BUILDINGS

November 15, 2001

The Committee on Grounds and Buildings met on the above date at UCSF–Laurel Heights, San Francisco.

Members present: Regents Atkinson, Connerly, T. Davis, Hertzberg, Hopkinson, O. Johnson, S. Johnson, Kozberg, Morrison, and Seymour

In attendance: Regents Bagley, Davies, Lee, Lozano, Marcus, Montoya, Moores, Preuss, and Sayles, Regents-designate Ligot-Gordon and Terrazas, Faculty Representative Viswanathan, Secretary Trivette, General Counsel Holst, Provost King, Senior Vice Presidents Darling and Mullinix, Chancellors Dynes, Greenwood, Tomlinson-Keasey, and Vanderhoef, and Recording Secretary Bryan

The meeting convened at 2:40 p.m. with Committee Chair Kozberg presiding.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of the meetings of July 19, 2001 and October 17, 2001 were approved.

2. CONSENT AGENDA

A. *Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration and Approval of Design, Aquatics Center, Davis Campus*

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project, the Committee:

- (1) Adopt the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration.
- (2) Adopt the Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
- (3) Approve the design of the Aquatics Center, Davis campus.

[The Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration, Findings, and Mitigation Monitoring Program, were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

B. *Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration, Amendment of Long Range Development Plan, and Approval of Design, Segundo Commons Replacement, Davis Campus*

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project as indicated in the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, the Committee recommend:

- (1) Adoption of the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration.
- (2) Amendment of the 1994 UC Davis Long Range Development Plan to change the land use designation on the proposed 3.2-acre site from *Physical Education/Intercollegiate Athletics and Recreation to Housing*.
- (3) Adoption of the Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
- (4) Approval of the design of the Segundo Commons Replacement, Davis campus.

[The Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration, Findings, and Mitigation Monitoring Program were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

C. *Adoption of Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Approval of Design, Segundo Infill Housing, Davis Campus*

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project, the Committee:

- (1) Adopt the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration.
- (2) Adopt the Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
- (3) Approve the design of the Segundo Housing Infill, Davis campus.

[The Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration, Findings, and Mitigation Monitoring Program were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

D. ***Adoption of Addendum to Mitigated Negative Declaration, Amendment of Long Range Development Plan, and Approval of Design, Wooden West Addition, Los Angeles Campus***

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project as indicated in the Addendum to the Tiered Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, the Committee recommend:

- (1) Adoption of the Findings and Mitigated Negative Declaration Addendum.
- (2) Amendment of the UCLA 1990 Long Range Development Plan to transfer 5,200 gross square feet of allocation from the Southwest Zone to the Central Zone to accommodate the project.
- (3) Approval of the design of the Wooden West Addition, Los Angeles campus.

[The Findings and Mitigated Negative Declaration Addendum were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

E. ***Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration, Amendment of Long Range Development Plan, and Approval of Design, Acosta Athletic Training Center Renovation and Expansion, Los Angeles Campus***

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project described in the Tiered Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, the Committee recommend:

- (1) Adoption of the Tiered Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, which includes an Addendum to the Long Range Development Plan Final Environmental Impact Report.
- (2) Adoption of the Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
- (3) Amendment of the Long Range Development Plan to transfer 33,325 gross square feet of allocation from the Southwest zone to the Central zone.

- (4) Approval of the design of Acosta Athletic Training Center Renovation and Expansion, Los Angeles campus.

[The Tiered Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, Findings, and Mitigation Monitoring Program were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

F. ***Adoption of Negative Declaration and Approval of Design, UCSF-Fresno Medical Education and Research Center, San Francisco Campus***

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed project, the Committee:

- (1) Adopt the Negative Declaration.
- (2) Adopt the Findings.
- (3) Approve the design of the UCSF-Fresno Medical Education and Research Center (MERC), San Francisco campus.

[The Negative Declaration and Findings were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

G. ***Certification of Environmental Impact Report, Amendment of Long Range Development Plan, and Approval of Design, Intercollegiate Athletics Building, Santa Barbara Campus***

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed action as evaluated in the Environmental Impact Report, the Committee recommend:

- (1) Certification of the Environmental Impact Report.
- (2) Adoption of the Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
- (3) Amendment of the Long Range Development Plan.

- (4) Approval of the design of the Intercollegiate Athletics Building, Santa Barbara campus.

[The Environmental Impact Report, Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and Mitigation Monitoring Program were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

H. ***Certification of Environmental Impact Report, Amendment of Long Range Development Plan, and Approval of Design, Recreation Center Expansion, Santa Barbara Campus***

The President recommended that, upon review and consideration of the environmental consequences of the proposed action as evaluated in the Environmental Impact Report, the Committee recommend:

- (1) Certification of the Environmental Impact Report.
- (2) Adoption of the Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and Mitigation Monitoring Program.
- (3) Amendment of the Long Range Development Plan.
- (4) Approval of the design of the Recreation Center Expansion, Santa Barbara campus.

[The Environmental Impact Report, Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and Mitigation Monitoring Program were mailed to all Regents in advance of the meeting, and copies are on file in the Office of the Secretary.]

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved the President's recommendations and voted to present them to the Board.

3. **REMARKS OF THE COMMITTEE CHAIR**

Committee Chair Kozberg reported that during the coming year the Committee will be reviewing \$5 billion in new projects. The vision of each campus will be assessed to ensure that guidelines are in place and that the projects are well organized. Also, a UC Project Management Institute will examine University construction standards. Initially, it will address different kinds of housing projects, including third party, family, and residence hall, to promote best-of-class examples throughout the University.

Chairman S. Johnson noted that, with so much construction planned and under way, the articulation of vision statements will be welcome, and she asked how they would

be implemented. Committee Chair Kozberg explained that each campus will come forward to discuss its vision and how that vision will be implemented. She believed that the exercise will be helpful in bringing into focus the total context in which projects are being built.

The meeting adjourned at 2:44 p.m.

Attest:

Secretary